

Compactness and Lindelöfness in Special Neutrosophic Crisp Topological Spaces

Hossam Shfiq Al Salman and Reyadh Delphi Ali

College of Education for Pure Sciences, University of Karbala, 56001 Karbala, Iraq
hossam.sh@s.uokerbala.edu.iq, reyadh_delphi@uokerbala.edu.iq

Keywords: Neutrosophic Crisp Open Cover, Neutrosophic Crisp Finite Open Cover, Neutrosophic Crisp Countable Open Cover, Neutrosophic Crisp Compactness, Neutrosophic Crisp Lindelöf Property.

Abstract: Algebraic operations in Neutrosophic Crisp Sets are diverse, involving multiple types of intersections, unions, complements, and inclusions, which makes the study of their topological properties more complex. This paper investigates neutrosophic crisp compactness and Lindelöf properties within a neutrosophic crisp topological space defined by first-type intersections and second-type unions and complements for all Neutrosophic Crisp Sets. Definitions for compactness and Lindelöfness are introduced based on these operations, and the validity of classical topological theorems is analysed in this context. Comparisons with classical topology reveal that some theorems hold, while others fail, but are valid under specific conditions that have been clarified. This study also examines the impact of specifying algebraic operations on the behaviour of compactness and Lindelöf properties. The theorems that are valid under the proposed framework are rigorously established within the proposed framework. These findings provide new insights into the structure of Neutrosophic Crisp topological spaces and highlight the critical role of algebraic operation selection in determining fundamental.

1 INTRODUCTION

Florentin Smarandache is widely recognized as the founder of neutrosophic crisp Sets, having classified these sets into three distinct types under specific conditions [1], [2]:

Let $Q^n = \langle Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 \rangle$ be a neutrosophic crisp set ($N_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}} - set$) in Y .

Type I: $Q_1 \cap Q_2 = \emptyset, Q_1 \cap Q_3 = \emptyset, Q_2 \cap Q_3 = \emptyset$.

Type II: $Q_1 \cap Q_2 = \emptyset, Q_1 \cap Q_3 = \emptyset, Q_2 \cap Q_3 = \emptyset$, and $Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup Q_3 = Y$.

Type III: $Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap Q_3 = \emptyset$, and $Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup Q_3 = Y$.

Additionally, he and his colleagues introduced four forms for the universal set and four forms for the empty sets as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \Phi_1^n = \langle \emptyset, \emptyset, Y \rangle, & \Phi_2^n = \langle \emptyset, Y, \emptyset \rangle, \\ \Phi_3^n = \langle \emptyset, Y, Y \rangle, & \Phi_4^n = \langle \emptyset, Y, \emptyset \rangle. \\ Y_1^n = \langle Y, \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle, & Y_2^n = \langle Y, Y, \emptyset \rangle, \\ Y_3^n = \langle Y, \emptyset, Y \rangle, & Y_4^n = \langle Y, Y, Y \rangle. \end{array}$$

As well as defined three types of complements as follows:

Let $Q^n = \langle Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 \rangle$ be a $N_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}} - set$ in Y . its complements are defined as follows:

Type I: $(Q^n)^{c_1} = \langle Q_1^c, Q_2^c, Q_3^c \rangle$,

Type II: $(Q^n)^{c_2} = \langle Q_3, Q_2, Q_1 \rangle$,

Type III: $(Q^n)^{c_3} = \langle Q_3, Q_2^c, Q_1 \rangle$.

Thereby establishing a solid foundation for the study and applications of these sets.

Subsequently, numerous researchers contributed to the development of $N_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}} - sets$ and their associated topological spaces, as well as the study of relationships among them. Notably, in [3], new concepts of $N_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}} - set$ closed sets were introduced, including g -neutrosophic crisp closed sets, αg -neutrosophic crisp closed sets, αg -neutrosophic crisp closed sets, and αg -neutrosophic crisp closed sets, together with their fundamental properties in neutrosophic crisp topological spaces. Moreover, new notions such as αg -neutrosophic crisp closure and αg -neutrosophic crisp interior were defined, and some of their properties were investigated.

In [4], the general approach for generating any stable neutrosophic crisp topology using a basis or the concept of stable neutrosophic crisp interior was clarified. This interior is closed under finite

intersections but not under finite unions. Conversely, the stable neutrosophic crisp exterior is closed under finite unions but not under finite intersections. Necessary and sufficient conditions for both finite unions and finite intersections to be closed were obtained based on the concept of confused crisp sets.

In [5], we provided a neutrosophic crisp topological space was defined based on Type I intersection and Type II union and complement, Meanwhile, the researchers in [6]-[9] contributed to the development of separation axioms as well as the concepts of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ – Semi-Compact and Semi-Lindelöf spaces, in the context of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ – set.

Based on the definition presented in [5], this manuscript introduces the concepts of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ – compact and $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ – Lindelöf spaces using the $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ – open cover as defined in the same study (Table 1). A comparison with classical topology reveals that some theorems hold universally, while others are valid only under specific conditions, as detailed in this work. Type I intersection together with Type II union and complement are adopted here, following [5], because this particular combination yields a consistent lattice structure on the collection of neutrosophic crisp open sets that supports well-behaved notions of compactness and the Lindelöf property; other combinations of operation types do not preserve this structure and are left for future investigation. This paper aims to clarify the challenges associated with determining the appropriate form of algebraic operations and propose solutions, thereby opening future avenues for identifying optimal forms when studying properties of neutrosophic crisp topological spaces.

Table 1: List of symbols.

Symbol	Descriptions
$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$	Neutrosophic Crisp
$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{T}(1,2)}$ – space	Neutrosophic Crisp Topological Spaces
$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}}$ – set	Neutrosophic Crisp Closed Set
$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}}$ – set	Neutrosophic Crisp Open Set
$\mathcal{N}_s - \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{T}(1,2)}$ – space	subspace Neutrosophic Crisp Topology

2 PRELIMINARIES

2.1 Definition [10]

Let $\mathcal{D}^n = \langle \mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2, \mathcal{D}_3 \rangle$, and $\mathcal{L}^n = \langle \mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{L}_3 \rangle$ be two $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ – sets in Y . Their union is defined as follows:

Type I:

$$\mathcal{D}^n \cup_1 \mathcal{L}^n = \langle \mathcal{D}_1 \cup \mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{D}_2 \cup \mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{D}_3 \cap \mathcal{L}_3 \rangle$$

Type II:

$$\mathcal{D}^n \cup_2 \mathcal{L}^n = \langle \mathcal{D}_1 \cup \mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{D}_2 \cap \mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{D}_3 \cap \mathcal{L}_3 \rangle$$

Similarly, their intersection is defined as follows:

Type I:

$$\mathcal{D}^n \cap_1 \mathcal{L}^n = \langle \mathcal{D}_1 \cap \mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{D}_2 \cap \mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{D}_3 \cup \mathcal{L}_3 \rangle$$

Type II:

$$\mathcal{D}^n \cap_2 \mathcal{L}^n = \langle \mathcal{D}_1 \cap \mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{D}_2 \cup \mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{D}_3 \cup \mathcal{L}_3 \rangle.$$

2.2 Definition 2.2 [10]

For any two $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ – sets $\mathcal{D}^n = \langle \mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2, \mathcal{D}_3 \rangle$, and $\mathcal{L}^n = \langle \mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{L}_3 \rangle$ in Y , two types of subset relations are defined as follows:

Type I:

$$\mathcal{D}^n \subseteq_1 \mathcal{L}^n \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{D}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{D}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{L}_3 \subseteq \mathcal{D}_3,$$

Type II:

$$\mathcal{D}^n \subseteq_2 \mathcal{L}^n \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{D}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{D}_2, \mathcal{L}_3 \subseteq \mathcal{D}_3.$$

2.3 Theorem 2.3 [1]

Assume that $\{\mathcal{M}_j^n: j \in J\}$ is a family of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ – subsets defined on a universe Y . under this assumption, we obtain that:

$$\cap_1 \mathcal{M}_j^n = \langle \cap \mathcal{M}_{j_1}, \cap \mathcal{M}_{j_2}, \cup \mathcal{M}_{j_3} \rangle,$$

$$\cup_2 \mathcal{M}_j^n = \langle \cup \mathcal{M}_{j_1}, \cap \mathcal{M}_{j_2}, \cap \mathcal{M}_{j_3} \rangle.$$

2.4 Definition [11]

If $\mathcal{J}^n = \langle \mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{J}_2, \mathcal{J}_3 \rangle$ is a $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ – set in Z , then the preimage of $\mathcal{J}^n$ under h , defined by $h^{-1}(\mathcal{J}^n) = \langle h^{-1}(\mathcal{J}_1), h^{-1}(\mathcal{J}_2), h^{-1}(\mathcal{J}_3) \rangle$, is a $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ – set in Y .

Similarly, If $\mathcal{K}^n = \langle \mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2, \mathcal{K}_3 \rangle$ is a $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ – set in Y , then the image of $\mathcal{J}^n$ under h , defined by $h(\mathcal{K}^n) = \langle h(\mathcal{K}_1), h(\mathcal{K}_2), h(\mathcal{K}_3) \rangle$, is a $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ – set in Z .

2.5 Corollary [2]

Let $h: Y \rightarrow Z$ be a function, and $\mathcal{K}^n = \langle \mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2, \mathcal{K}_3 \rangle$, $\mathcal{M}^n = \langle \mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2, \mathcal{M}_3 \rangle$ be $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ – sets in Y . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} K^n \subseteq_1 \mathcal{M}^n &\Leftrightarrow h(K^n) \subseteq_1 h(\mathcal{M}^n), \\ K^n \subseteq_2 \mathcal{M}^n &\Leftrightarrow h(K^n) \subseteq_2 h(\mathcal{M}^n). \end{aligned}$$

2.6 Corollary [2]

Let $h: Y \rightarrow Z$ be a function, and $\mathcal{B}^n = \langle \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{B}_3 \rangle$, $\mathcal{J}^n = \langle \mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{J}_2, \mathcal{J}_3 \rangle$ be $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ -sets in Z . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}^n \subseteq_1 \mathcal{J}^n &\Leftrightarrow h^{-1}(\mathcal{B}^n) \subseteq_1 h^{-1}(\mathcal{J}^n), \\ \mathcal{B}^n \subseteq_2 \mathcal{J}^n &\Leftrightarrow h^{-1}(\mathcal{B}^n) \subseteq_2 h^{-1}(\mathcal{J}^n). \end{aligned}$$

2.7 Definition [5]

A pair $(Y, \mathcal{T})$ is called a $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ -topological space ($\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{T}_{(1,2)}$ -space), if the following conditions are satisfied:

- $\Phi_1^n \in \mathcal{T}$, and $Y_1^n \in \mathcal{T}$.
- For any $\mathcal{D}^n, \mathcal{O}^n \in \mathcal{T}$, the set $\mathcal{D}^n \cap_1 \mathcal{O}^n \in \mathcal{T}$.
- If $\mathcal{D}^{n_j} \in \mathcal{T} \forall j \in J$, then $\bigcup_{j \in J} \mathcal{D}^{n_j} \in \mathcal{T}$.

Each $\mathcal{D}^n \in \mathcal{T}$, is called a $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ -open set ($\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{O}$ -set), and $(\mathcal{D}^n)^{c_2}$ is called a $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ -closed set ($\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{C}$ -set).

2.8 Definition [5]

Let $h: (Y, \mathcal{T}_Y) \rightarrow (Z, \mathcal{T}_Z)$ be a mapping between two $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{T}_{(1,2)}$ -spaces. Then h is called $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ -continuous $_{(1,2)}$ if for every $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{O}$ -set $\mathcal{O}^n \in \mathcal{T}_Z$, the inverse image $h^{-1}(\mathcal{O}^n)$ is a $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{O}$ -set in Y .

2.9 Definition

A $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ -set $Q^n = \langle Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 \rangle$ in Y is called finite (countable) whenever all its component crisp sets Q_1, Q_2 , and Q_3 are finite (countable).

2.10 Definition

Let $(Y, \mathcal{T})$ be a $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{T}_{(1,2)}$ -space, $\mathcal{M}^n = \langle M_1, \Phi, M_3 \rangle \subseteq_1 Y_1^n$. Then the neutrosophic crisp topology defined on $\mathcal{M}^n$ is termed a subspace neutrosophic crisp topology ($\mathcal{N}_s - \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{T}_{(1,2)}$ -space) denoted by $(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}^n})$ such that $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}^n} = \{\mathcal{M}^n \cap_1 \mathcal{N}^n : \mathcal{N}^n \in \mathcal{T}\}$.

2.11 Theorem

$(\mathcal{M}^n, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}^n})$ is a $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ -topological space.

Proof: The proof follows directly from Definitions 7 and 10.

3 NEUTROSOPHIC CRISP COMPACT SPACE

The following section, we study $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ -open covers, and finite open covers, within neutrosophic crisp topology. We also introduce the notion of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ -compactness based on the topology defined in Definition 2.7. Furthermore, a collection of fundamental theorems with their rigorous proofs is presented, along with several results that hold under additional conditions.

3.1 Definition

Let $(Y, \mathcal{T})$ be a $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{T}_{(1,2)}$ -space, and let $Q^n = \langle Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 \rangle$ be a $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ -set in Y . A neutrosophic crisp covering of Q^n is a family of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ -sets $\mathcal{G} = \{\mathcal{R}^n_\lambda = \langle \mathcal{R}_{\lambda 1}, \mathcal{R}_{\lambda 2}, \mathcal{R}_{\lambda 3} \rangle : \lambda \in \mathcal{X}\}$ such that:

$$Q_1 \subseteq \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathcal{X}} \mathcal{R}_{\lambda 1}, Q_2 \supseteq \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathcal{X}} \mathcal{R}_{\lambda 2}, Q_3 \supseteq \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathcal{X}} \mathcal{R}_{\lambda 3}.$$

- A subcover of $\mathcal{G}$ is any subfamily $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ that also a covering of Q^n .
- A covering $\mathcal{G}$ is called a $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ -open cover if each $\mathcal{R}^n_\lambda$ are $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{O}$ -set.
- A covering $\mathcal{G}$ is said to be $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ -finite cover if it contains finitely many elements, i.e., $\mathcal{G} = \{\mathcal{R}^n_i = \langle \mathcal{R}_{i1}, \mathcal{R}_{i2}, \mathcal{R}_{i3} \rangle, i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ satisfies, $Q_1 \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m \mathcal{R}_{i1}, Q_2 \supseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^m \mathcal{R}_{i2}, Q_3 \supseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^m \mathcal{R}_{i3}$.
- The family $\mathcal{G}$ is called a $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ -open cover of Y_1^n if and only if

$$Y = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathcal{X}} \mathcal{R}_{\lambda 1}, \quad \Phi = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathcal{X}} \mathcal{R}_{\lambda 2}, \quad \Phi = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathcal{X}} \mathcal{R}_{\lambda 3}.$$

3.2 Remark

Let $(Y, \mathcal{T})$ be a $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{T}_{(1,2)}$ -space, and $Q^n = \langle Q_1, \Phi, Q_3 \rangle$ be a $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ -set in Y . A covering of Q^n is a collection of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ -sets $\mathcal{G} = \{\mathcal{R}^n_\lambda = \langle \mathcal{R}_{\lambda 1}, \emptyset, \mathcal{R}_{\lambda 3} \rangle : \lambda \in \mathcal{X}\}$ such that

$$Q_1 \subseteq \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathcal{X}} \mathcal{R}_{\lambda 1}, \quad Q_3 \supseteq \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathcal{X}} \mathcal{R}_{\lambda 3}.$$

Because, $Q_2 = \Phi$ according to Definition 3.1, it follows directly that the intersection of any family of open sets covering Q_2 is necessarily empty. Hence, this condition need not be stated separately.

3.3 Definition

A $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – set Q^n in a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{CT}_{(1,2)}$ – space, is said to be a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – compact provided that every $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – open cover of Q^n has a finite supcover.

- Y_1^n is a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – compact if and only if $Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^m \mathfrak{R}_{i1}, \Phi = \bigcap_{i=1}^m \mathfrak{R}_{i2}, \Phi = \bigcap_{i=1}^m \mathfrak{R}_{i3}$;
- If Y_1^n is a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – compact, then (Y, T) is a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – compact space.

3.4 Example

Let $Y = N$ and $K_1^n = \langle \{2, 3, \dots\}, \Phi, \Phi \rangle, K_2^n = \langle \{3, 4, \dots\}, \Phi, \{1\} \rangle, K_3^n = \langle \{4, 5, \dots\}, \Phi, \{1, 2\} \rangle, K_m^n = \langle \{m+1, m+2, \dots\}, \Phi, \{1, 2, 3, \dots, m-1\} \rangle$.

Define $T = \{\Phi_1^n, Y_1^n\} \cup K_m^n$.

Then (Y, T) is a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{CT}_{(1,2)}$ – space (by Definition 2.7).

Moreover, every $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – open cover of N has $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – finite subcover $\bigcup_{i=1}^m K_{i1} = N, \bigcap_{i=1}^m K_{i1} = \Phi, \bigcap_{i=1}^m K_{i3} = \Phi$, so (Y, T) is a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – compact space.

3.5 Example

Let $Y = (0, 1)$, and $K_1^n = \langle \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right), \Phi, \left(0, \frac{1}{3}\right) \rangle, K_2^n = \langle \left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right), \Phi, \left(0, \frac{1}{4}\right) \rangle, K_3^n = \langle \left(\frac{1}{5}, \frac{4}{5}\right), \Phi, \left(0, \frac{1}{5}\right) \rangle, K_m^n = \langle \left(\frac{1}{m}, \frac{m-1}{m}\right), \Phi, \left(0, \frac{1}{m}\right) \rangle$. Define $T = \{\Phi_1^n, Y_1^n\} \cup \{K_m^n, m = 3, 4, 5, \dots\}$.

Then (Y, T) is a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{CT}_{(1,2)}$ – space (by Definition 2.7). Since,

$$Y = \bigcup_{m=3}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{m}, \frac{m-1}{m}\right), \bigcap_{m=3}^{\infty} \Phi = \Phi, \bigcap_{m=3}^{\infty} \left(0, \frac{1}{m}\right) = \Phi.$$

But, $\bigcup_{m=3}^k \left(\frac{1}{m}, \frac{m-1}{m}\right) \subset (0, 1)$ but $\neq Y, m = 3, 4, \dots, t$. Because all these intervals start at values greater than or equal to $\frac{1}{t}$. Hence, the interval $\left(0, \frac{1}{t}\right)$ is not covered.

Therefore, no finite subcollection covers Y_1^n , and so (Y, T) is not $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – compact space.

3.6 Theorem

Let (Y, T) be a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{CT}_{(1,2)}$ – space and $H^n = \langle H_1, H_2, H_3 \rangle$ be a finite $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – set in Y . Then H^n is a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – compact.

Proof: Since, H^n is finite $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – set. Therefore

$$H^n = \langle \{d_{1,1}, \dots, d_{1,t}\}, \{d_{2,1}, \dots, d_{2,l}\}, \{d_{3,1}, \dots, d_{3,k}\} \rangle \text{ (by Definition 2.9)}$$

Let $\{\mathfrak{R}_\lambda^n = \langle \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 1}, \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 2}, \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 3} \rangle : \lambda \in \mathfrak{X}\}$ be an $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – open cover of H^n . Then

$$H_1 \subseteq \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 1}, H_2 \supseteq \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 2}, H_3 \supseteq \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 3}.$$

Therefore,

$$\forall d_1 \in H_1 \exists \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda_{1d_1}} \in \{\mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 1}\} \ni d_1 \in \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda_{1d_1}}.$$

So, $d_{1,1} \in \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda_{1d_{1,1}}}, d_{1,2} \in \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda_{1d_{1,2}}}, \dots, d_{1,m} \in \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda_{1d_{1,l}}}$.

Hence,

$$H_1 \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m \mathfrak{R}_{i1}. \quad (1)$$

Since, $H_2 \supseteq \bigcap_{\lambda} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 2}$ and H_2 finite set. So,

$$H_2 \supseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^m \mathfrak{R}_{i2}. \quad (2)$$

Since, $H_3 \supseteq \bigcap_{\lambda} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 3}$ and H_3 finite set. So,

$$H_3 \supseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^m \mathfrak{R}_{i3}. \quad (3)$$

From (1), (2) and (3) we get H^n is a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – compact.

3.7 Theorem

Let (Y, T) be a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{CT}_{(1,2)}$ – space and $F^n = \langle F_1, \Phi, F_1^c \rangle$ be a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{CC}$ – set in Y . If (Y, T) is a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – compact space then F^n is a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – compact.

Proof: Let $\{\mathfrak{R}_\lambda^n = \langle \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 1}, \Phi, \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 3} \rangle : \lambda \in \mathfrak{X}\}$ be an $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – open cover of F^n . Then:

$$F_1 \subseteq \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 1}, F_1^c \supseteq \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 3} \text{ (by Remark 3.2).}$$

Since, F^n is a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{CC}$ – set $\Rightarrow F^{n^c_2}$ is a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{CO}$ – set.

Thus, $Y_1^n = \langle Y, \Phi, \Phi \rangle = F^n \cup_2 F^{n^c_2} = \langle F_1 \cup F_1^c, \Phi \cap \Phi, F_1^c \cap F_1 \rangle$. (by Definition 2.1)

So,

$$Y = F_1 \cup F_1^c \subseteq \left(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 1} \right) \cup F_1^c, \\ \Phi = F_1^c \cap F_1 \supseteq \left(\bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 3} \right) \cap F_1.$$

Thus, $\{\mathfrak{R}_\lambda^n : \lambda \in \mathfrak{X}\}, \{F^{n^c_2}\}$ are $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – open covering of Y_1^n . Since, Y_1^n is a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – compact. Therefore,

$$Y = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^m \mathfrak{R}_{i1} \right) \cup F_1^c \Rightarrow F_1 \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m \mathfrak{R}_{i1}, \quad (1)$$

$$\Phi = \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^m \mathfrak{R}_{i3} \right) \cap F_1 \Rightarrow F_1^c \supseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^m \mathfrak{R}_{i3}. \quad (2)$$

From (1), and (2) we get F^n is a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – compact.

- The above theorem may not hold in general if the set F^n is taken as $F^n = \langle F_1, F_2, F_3 \rangle$, unless an appropriate type of complement is used. In particular, if the complement is of type (II), the theorem cannot be satisfied, since in this case the universal set Y_1^n is not obtained

from the union of F^n with its complement, i.e., $F^n \cup_2 F^{c2} = \langle F_1 \cup F_3, F_2 \cap F_2, F_3 \cap F_1 \rangle \neq Y_1^n$. Therefore, the complement must be taken of type (I) in order for the theorem to remain valid, as in this case we obtain $F^n \cup_2 F^{c1} = \langle F_1 \cup F_1^c, F_2 \cap F_2^c, F_3 \cap F_3^c \rangle = Y_1^n$.

3.8 Theorem

If $Q^n = \langle Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 \rangle$ and $L^n = \langle L_1, L_2, L_3 \rangle$ are N_{UC} – compact sets in $N_{UCT(1,2)}$ – space, then $Q^n \cup_2 L^n$ is a N_{UC} – compact set.

Proof: Let $\{\mathfrak{R}^n_\lambda = \langle \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 1}, \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 2}, \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 3} \rangle : \lambda \in \mathfrak{X}\}$ be an N_{UC} – open covering of $Q^n \cup_2 L^n = \langle Q_1 \cup L_1, Q_2 \cap L_2, Q_3 \cap L_3 \rangle$. Then:

$$Q_1 \cup L_1 \subseteq \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 1}, \quad Q_2 \cap L_2 \supseteq \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 2}, \\ Q_3 \cap L_3 \supseteq \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 3}.$$

Since, $Q_1 \subseteq Q_1 \cup L_1 \subseteq \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 1}$, and:

$L_1 \subseteq Q_1 \cup L_1 \subseteq \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 1} \Rightarrow Q_1 \subseteq \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 1}$, and $L_1 \subseteq \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 1}$. Since, $Q_2 \supseteq Q_2 \cap L_2 \supseteq \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 2}$, and $L_2 \supseteq Q_2 \cap L_2 \supseteq \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 2} \Rightarrow Q_2 \supseteq \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 2}$, and $L_2 \supseteq \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 2}$.

Since, $Q_3 \supseteq Q_3 \cap L_3 \supseteq \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 3}$, and:

$L_3 \supseteq Q_3 \cap L_3 \supseteq \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 3} \Rightarrow Q_3 \supseteq \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 3}$, and $L_3 \supseteq \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 3}$. Therefore,

$\{\mathfrak{R}^n_\lambda = \langle \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 1}, \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 2}, \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 3} \rangle : \lambda \in \mathfrak{X}\}$ be an N_{UC} – open covering of Q^n and L^n , but Q^n and L^n are N_{UC} – compact. There exist finite subfamilies: $\{\mathfrak{R}^n_{\lambda_i}\}_{i=1}^m$, $\{\mathcal{H}^n_{\alpha_j}\}_{j=1}^m$. Hence,

$$Q_1 \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda_i 1}, \quad Q_2 \supseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^m \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda_i 2}, \quad Q_3 \supseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^m \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda_i 3}$$

And:

$$L_1 \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^m \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_j 1}, \quad L_2 \supseteq \bigcap_{j=1}^m \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_j 2}, \quad L_3 \supseteq \bigcap_{j=1}^m \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_j 3}.$$

Let: $\mathfrak{R} = \{\mathfrak{R}^n_{\lambda_i}\}_{i=1}^m \cup_2 \{\mathcal{H}^n_{\alpha_j}\}_{j=1}^m$. Then $\mathfrak{R}$ is finite. We obtain:

$$Q_1 \cup L_1 \subseteq \bigcup_{\mathfrak{R}^n \in \mathfrak{R}} \mathfrak{R}_1, \quad Q_2 \cap L_2 \supseteq \bigcap_{\mathfrak{R}^n \in \mathfrak{R}} \mathfrak{R}_2, \\ Q_3 \cap L_3 \supseteq \bigcap_{\mathfrak{R}^n \in \mathfrak{R}} \mathfrak{R}_3$$

Hence, $Q^n \cup_2 L^n$ is a N_{UC} – compact set.

3.9 Corollary

Let $Q^n = \langle Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 \rangle$ be a N_{UC} – compact set and $F^n = \langle F_1, \Phi, F_1^c \rangle$ be a $N_{UC\mathcal{C}}$ – set in a $N_{UCT(1,2)}$ – space. Then $Q^n \cap_1 F^n$ is a N_{UC} – compact set.

Proof: Let $\{\mathfrak{R}^n_\lambda = \langle \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 1}, \Phi, \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 3} \rangle : \lambda \in \mathfrak{X}\}$ be an N_{UC} – open covering of:

$$Q^n \cap_1 F^n = \langle Q_1 \cap F_1, \Phi, Q_3 \cup F_1^c \rangle.$$

So, $Q_1 \cap F_1 \subseteq \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 1}$, $Q_3 \cup F_1^c \supseteq \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 3}$ by Remark 3.2.

Since, F^n is a $N_{UC\mathcal{C}}$ – set $\Rightarrow F^{n,c2}$ is a $N_{UC\mathcal{O}}$ – set.

Hence, $\{\mathfrak{R}^n_\lambda : \lambda \in \mathfrak{X}\} \cup_2 \{F^{n,c2}\}$ forms an N_{UC} – open covering of Q^n .

So,

$$Q_1 \subseteq \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 1} \cup F_1^c, \quad Q_3 \supseteq \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{X}} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 3} \cap F_1.$$

Since, Q^n is a N_{UC} – compact, then:

$$Q_1 \subseteq \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^m \mathfrak{R}_{i1} \right) \cup F_1^c, \quad Q_3 \supseteq \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^m \mathfrak{R}_{i3} \right) \cap F_1.$$

Thus,

$$Q_1 \cap F_1 \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m (\mathfrak{R}_{i1} \cap F_1) \cup F_1^c \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m \mathfrak{R}_{i1} \quad (1) \\ Q_3 \cup F_1^c \supseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^m (\mathfrak{R}_{i3} \cup F_1^c) \cap F_1 \supseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^m \mathfrak{R}_{i3}. \quad (2)$$

- Hence, $Q^n \cap_1 F^n$ is a N_{UC} – compact set. If the set F^n is replaced by the general form $F^n = \langle F_1, F_2, F_3 \rangle$, the theorem may fail when using the complement of type (II), due to the lack of the open covering property required to cover Q^n . Therefore, it is necessary to use the complement of type (I) to ensure the validity of the theorem when considering the general set $F^n = \langle F_1, F_2, F_3 \rangle$, since the complement of type (I) guarantees the required covering property and allows the construction of an open covering of Q^n , which is essential for the compactness argument.

3.10 Theorem

Let (Y, T_Y) and (Z, T_Z) be $N_{UCT(1,2)}$ – spaces and $h : Y \rightarrow Z$ be a N_{UC} – continuous_(1,2) bijective. If $O^n = \langle O_1, O_2, O_3 \rangle$ is a N_{UC} – compact in Y , then $h(O^n)$ is a N_{UC} – compact in Z .

Proof: Let $\{\mathfrak{H}^n_\lambda = \langle \mathfrak{H}_{\lambda 1}, \mathfrak{H}_{\lambda 2}, \mathfrak{H}_{\lambda 3} \rangle : \lambda \in \mathfrak{X}\}$ be an forms an N_{UC} – open cover of $f(O^n)$. Then:

$$h(O_1) \subseteq \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} H_{\lambda 1}, \quad h(O_2) \supseteq \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} H_{\lambda 2},$$

$$h(O_3) \supseteq \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} H_{\lambda 3}.$$

Since, $\{H_{\lambda}^n\}$ are $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}}$ – sets in $Z \Rightarrow h^{-1}(\{H_{\lambda}^n\})$ are $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}}$ – sets in Y (by Definition 2.8). since, h is a bijective. Then:

$$O_1 = h^{-1}(f(O_1)) \subseteq h^{-1}(\bigcup_{\lambda} H_{\lambda 1}) = \bigcup_{\lambda} h^{-1}(H_{\lambda 1}),$$

$$O_2 = h^{-1}(h(O_2)) \supseteq h^{-1}(\bigcap_{\lambda} H_{\lambda 2}) = \bigcap_{\lambda} h^{-1}(H_{\lambda 2}),$$

$$O_3 = h^{-1}(h(O_3)) \supseteq h^{-1}(\bigcap_{\lambda} H_{\lambda 3}) = \bigcap_{\lambda} h^{-1}(H_{\lambda 3}).$$

Since, O^n is a $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ – compact in Y .

Therefore, $O_1 \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m h^{-1}(H_{i1})$,

$O_2 \supseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^m h^{-1}(H_{i2})$, $O_3 \supseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^m h^{-1}(H_{i3})$. Hence,

$$h(O_1) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m h(h^{-1}(H_{i1})),$$

$$h(O_2) \supseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^m h(h^{-1}(H_{i2})),$$

$$h(O_3) \supseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^m h(h^{-1}(H_{i3})).$$

Therefore, $h(O^n)$ is a $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ – compact in Z .

3.11 Corollary

Let (Y, T_Y) and (Z, T_Z) be $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{T}(1,2)}$ – spaces and $h : Y \rightarrow Z$ be a $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ – continuous $_{(1,2)}$ bijective. If (Y, T_Y) is a $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ – compact then (Z, T_Z) is a $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ – compact.

Proof: The demonstration unfolds in a manner mirroring the approach adopted in Theorem 3.10.

3.12 Theorem

Let (M^n, T_{M^n}) be a $\mathcal{N}_s - \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{T}(1,2)}$ – space and $L^n = \langle L_1, \Phi, L_3 \rangle \subseteq M^n = \langle M_1, \Phi, M_3 \rangle$. Then L^n is a $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ – compact in (Y, T_Y) if and only if L^n is a $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ – compact in (M^n, T_{M^n}) .

Proof: Let $\{H_{\lambda}^n = \langle H_{\lambda 1}, \Phi, H_{\lambda 3} \rangle : \lambda \in \mathbb{X}\}$ be an $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ – open cover of L^n in (M^n, T_{M^n}) ,

$$H_{\lambda}^n = \mathcal{R}_{\lambda}^n \cap_1 M^n \ni \mathcal{R}_{\lambda}^n \in T_Y.$$

$$\text{Then } L_1 \subseteq \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} H_{\lambda 1}, \quad L_3 \supseteq \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} H_{\lambda 3}.$$

$$\text{Thus, } L_1 \subseteq \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} (\mathcal{R}_{\lambda 1} \cap M_1) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} \mathcal{R}_{\lambda 1} \cap M_1,$$

$$L_3 \supseteq \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} (\mathcal{R}_{\lambda 3} \cup M_3) = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} \mathcal{R}_{\lambda 3} \cup M_3$$

$$\text{So, } L_1 \subseteq \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} \mathcal{R}_{\lambda 1}, \quad L_3 \supseteq \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} \mathcal{R}_{\lambda 3}$$

Hence, $\{\mathcal{R}_{\lambda}^n = \langle \mathcal{R}_{\lambda 1}, \Phi, \mathcal{R}_{\lambda 3} \rangle : \lambda \in \mathbb{X}\}$ be an $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ – open cover of L^n in (Y, T_Y) .

Since, L^n is a $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ – compact in (Y, T_Y) , then

$$L_1 \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m \mathcal{R}_{i1}, \quad L_3 \supseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^m \mathcal{R}_{i3}.$$

Since, $L^n = L^n \cap_1 M^n = \langle L_1 \cap M_1, \Phi, L_3 \cup M_3 \rangle$. Because, $L^n \subseteq_1 M^n$. Thus,

$$L_1 = L_1 \cap M_1 \subseteq (\bigcup_{i=1}^m \mathcal{R}_{i1}) \cap M_1 = \bigcup_{i=1}^m (\mathcal{R}_{i1} \cap M_1) = \bigcup_{i=1}^m H_{i1}.$$

$$L_3 = L_3 \cup M_3 \supseteq (\bigcap_{i=1}^m \mathcal{R}_{i3}) \cup M_3 = \bigcap_{i=1}^m (\mathcal{R}_{i3} \cup M_3) = \bigcap_{i=1}^m H_{i3}.$$

Hence, L^n is a $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ – compact in (M^n, T_{M^n}) .

Conversely, similarly, assume L^n is a $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ – compact in (M^n, T_{M^n}) .

Let $\{\mathcal{R}_{\lambda}^n = \langle \mathcal{R}_{\lambda 1}, \Phi, \mathcal{R}_{\lambda 3} \rangle : \lambda \in \mathbb{X}\}$ be an $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ – open cover of L^n in (Y, T_Y) .

$$\text{Define } H_{\lambda}^n = \mathcal{R}_{\lambda}^n \cap_1 M^n \ni \mathcal{R}_{\lambda}^n \in T_Y.$$

Then $\{H_{\lambda}^n = \langle H_{\lambda 1}, \Phi, H_{\lambda 3} \rangle$ be an $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ – open cover in the subspace.

By compactness, a finite subcover exists, which implies a finite subcover in (Y, T_Y) .

3.13 Definition

A family of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ – sets $\{\mathcal{R}_{\lambda}^n = \langle \mathcal{R}_{\lambda 1}, \mathcal{R}_{\lambda 2}, \mathcal{R}_{\lambda 3} \rangle : \lambda \in \mathbb{X}\}$ is known to have the finite intersection property (FIP) if and only if every finite subfamily $\{\mathcal{R}_{\lambda_1}^n, \mathcal{R}_{\lambda_2}^n, \dots, \mathcal{R}_{\lambda_m}^n\}$ satisfies $\bigcap_{i=1}^m \mathcal{R}_{\lambda_i}^n \neq \Phi_1^n$.

3.14 Theorem

A $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{T}(1,2)}$ – space is $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ – compact if and only if for every family of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}}$ – sets $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}^n = \langle \mathcal{F}_{\lambda 1}, \mathcal{F}_{\lambda 2}, \mathcal{F}_{\lambda 3} \rangle : \lambda \in \mathbb{X}\}$ we have $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} \mathcal{F}_{\lambda}^n \neq \Phi_1^n$.

Proof: Suppose (Y, T) is a $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ – compact and let $\{\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}^n = \langle \mathcal{F}_{\lambda 1}, \mathcal{F}_{\lambda 2}, \mathcal{F}_{\lambda 3} \rangle : \lambda \in \mathbb{X}\}$ family of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}}$ – sets having the finite intersection property.

Assume that $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} \mathcal{F}_{\lambda}^n = \Phi_1^n$. Then $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} \mathcal{F}_{\lambda}^n = \langle \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} \mathcal{F}_{\lambda 1}, \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} \mathcal{F}_{\lambda 2}, \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} \mathcal{F}_{\lambda 3} \rangle = \langle \Phi, \Phi, Y \rangle$.

Taking complements, we get: $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}^{n^c} = \langle \mathcal{F}_{\lambda 3}, \mathcal{F}_{\lambda 2}, \mathcal{F}_{\lambda 1} \rangle$ and hence,

$$\bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} \mathcal{F}_{\lambda}^{n^c} = \langle \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} \mathcal{F}_{\lambda 3}, \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} \mathcal{F}_{\lambda 2}, \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} \mathcal{F}_{\lambda 1} \rangle = \langle Y, \Phi, \Phi \rangle = Y_1^n.$$

Since, $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}^n$ is a $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}}$ – sets $\forall \lambda$. Hence, $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} \mathcal{F}_{\lambda}^{n^c} = \langle \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} \mathcal{F}_{\lambda 3}, \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} \mathcal{F}_{\lambda 2}, \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} \mathcal{F}_{\lambda 1} \rangle$ is a $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}}$ – sets $\forall \lambda$.

So, $\{\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}^{n^c}\}$ is a $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ – open of Y_1^n . Hence,

$$Y = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} \mathcal{F}_{\lambda 3}, \quad \Phi = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} \mathcal{F}_{\lambda 2}, \quad \Phi = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} \mathcal{F}_{\lambda 1} Y = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} \mathcal{F}_{\lambda 3}, \quad \Phi = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} \mathcal{F}_{\lambda 2}, \quad \Phi = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} \mathcal{F}_{\lambda 1}.$$

Since, Y_1^n is a $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{C}}$ – compact. So,

$$Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^m \mathcal{F}_{i3}, \quad \Phi = \bigcap_{i=1}^m \mathcal{F}_{i2},$$

$$\Phi = \bigcap_{i=1}^m \mathcal{F}_{i1}.$$

Thus, $\bigcap_{i=1}^m \mathcal{F}_{i1} = \bigcap_{i=1}^m \mathcal{F}_{i1} \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^m \mathcal{F}_{i2} \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^m \mathcal{F}_{i3} = \langle \Phi, \Phi, Y \rangle = \Phi_1^n$, which is a contradiction.

Therefore, $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} \mathcal{F}_{\lambda}^n \neq \Phi_1^n$.

Conversely, suppose (Y, T) is not $N_U\mathcal{C}$ – compact. $\exists \{\mathfrak{R}^n_\lambda = \langle \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 1}, \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 2}, \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 3} \rangle : \lambda \in \mathbb{X}\}$ be an $N_U\mathcal{C}$ – open cover of Y_1^n .

Such that $Y = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 1}$, $\Phi = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 2}$, $\Phi = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 3}$, but for every finite subfamily:

$$Y \neq \bigcup_{i=1}^m \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda i 1}, \quad \Phi \neq \bigcap_{i=1}^m \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda i 2}, \quad \Phi \neq \bigcap_{i=1}^m \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda i 3}.$$

Consider the family $\{\mathfrak{R}^n_\lambda = \langle \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 3}, \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 2}, \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 1} \rangle\}$.

Then:

$\bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} \mathfrak{R}^n_\lambda = \langle \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 3}, \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 2}, \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 1} \rangle = \langle \Phi, \Phi, Y \rangle = \Phi_1^n$, but every finite intersection is non – empty. Thus, this family has the finite intersection property but empty total intersection, which is a contradiction. Hence, (Y, T) is a $N_U\mathcal{C}$ – compact.

4 NEUTROSOPHIC CRISP LINDELÖF SPACE

The following section, we study the Lindelöf property, and countable open covers in $N_U\mathcal{C}T_{(1,2)}$ – space. We present the definition of a neutrosophic crisp Lindelöf space with respect to the topology given in Definition (2.7). Moreover, a collection of fundamental theorems concerning this property are presented along with their rigorous proofs. In addition, several results under additional conditions are also discussed.

4.1 Definition

Let (Y, T) be a $N_U\mathcal{C}T_{(1,2)}$ – space, and $Q^n = \langle Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 \rangle$ be a $N_U\mathcal{C}$ – set in Y . A family:

$$\mathcal{G} = \{\mathfrak{R}^n_\lambda = \langle \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 1}, \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 2}, \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 3} \rangle : \lambda \in \mathbb{X}, \mathfrak{R}^n_\lambda \in T \mid Q_1 \subseteq \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 1}, Q_2 \supseteq \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 2}, \quad Q_3 \supseteq \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 3}\}.$$

If, in addition, the index set $\mathbb{X}$ is countable, then $\mathcal{G}$ is called a countable $N_U\mathcal{C}$ – open cover of Q^n .

4.2 Definition

A $N_U\mathcal{C}$ – set Q^n of a $N_U\mathcal{C}T_{(1,2)}$ – space is called $N_U\mathcal{C}$ – Lindelöf if $\forall \{\mathfrak{R}^n_\lambda = \langle \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 1}, \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 2}, \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 3} \rangle : \lambda \in \mathbb{X}, \mathfrak{R}^n_\lambda \in T, \text{ and } Q_1 \subseteq \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 1}, Q_2 \supseteq \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 2}, Q_3 \supseteq \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda 3} \Rightarrow \exists \{\lambda_i\} \subseteq \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X} \text{ is countable such that:}$

$$Q_1 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda_i 1}, Q_2 = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda_i 2}, Q_3 = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda_i 3}.$$

- Y_1^n is a $N_U\mathcal{C}$ – Lindelöf if and only if

$$Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda_i 1}, \quad \Phi = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda_i 2}, \quad \Phi = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{R}_{\lambda_i 3}.$$

- If Y_1^n is a $N_U\mathcal{C}$ – Lindelöf, then $N_U\mathcal{C}T_{(1,2)}$ – space is a $N_U\mathcal{C}$ – Lindelöf space.

4.3 Example

Let $Y = \mathbb{N}$ and $K_1^n = \langle \{2, 3, \dots\}, \Phi, \Phi \rangle$, $K_2^n = \langle \{3, 4, \dots\}, \Phi, \{1\} \rangle$, $K_3^n = \langle \{4, 5, \dots\}, \Phi, \{1, 2\} \rangle$, $K_m^n = \langle \{m+1, m+2, \dots\}, \Phi, \{1, 2, 3, \dots, m-1\} \rangle$.

Then $T = \{\Phi_1^n, Y_1^n\} \cup K_m^n$ is a $N_U\mathcal{C}T_{(1,2)}$ – space.

Step 1:

Consider the family $K^n_{m, m \in \mathbb{N}}$.

First component: $\bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} K_{m1} = \mathbb{N}$.

Second component: $\bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} K_{m2} = \Phi$, because $K_{m2} = \Phi$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$

Third component: $\bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} K_{m3} = \Phi$, because $K_{m3} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, m-1\}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$

Step 1:

The family $K^n_{m, m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is already countable.

Hence, we can choose the subfamily: $\{K^n_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$

Therefore: $Y = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} K_{m1}$, $\Phi = \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} K_{m2}$, $\Phi = \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} K_{m3}$.

Hence, every $N_U\mathcal{C}$ – open cover of Y_1^n admits a countable subcover. Therefore (Y, T) is a $N_U\mathcal{C}$ – Lindelöf space.

4.4 Theorem

Every $N_U\mathcal{C}$ – compact space is a $N_U\mathcal{C}$ – Lindelöf space

Proof: Suppose that Y_1^n is a $N_U\mathcal{C}$ – compact. Then every $N_U\mathcal{C}$ – open cover of Y_1^n has a finite $N_U\mathcal{C}$ – open subcover.

Since every finite set is countable, it follows that every such cover admits a countable $N_U\mathcal{C}$ – open subcover. Therefore, Y_1^n is a $N_U\mathcal{C}$ – Lindelöf space.

4.5 Remark

The implication stated in Theorem 4.4 cannot be extended to its converse. This is supported by Example 3.5, where the space (Y, T) is a $N_U\mathcal{C}$ – Lindelöf but not $N_U\mathcal{C}$ – compact.

4.6 Corollary

If $\mathcal{N}^n$ is finite $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – set in a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{CT}_{(1,2)}$ – space, then it is a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – Lindelöf set.

Proof: Since every finite $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – set is $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – compact by Theorems 3.6, and Every $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – compact space is a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – Lindelöf by Theorems 4.4.

4.7 Corollary

Let (Y, T) be a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{CT}_{(1,2)}$ – space and $\mathcal{N}^n = \langle \mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2, \mathcal{N}_3 \rangle$ be a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – countable set in Y . Then $\mathcal{N}^n$ is a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – Lindelöf.

Proof: Since $\mathcal{N}^n$ is a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – countable set, for all $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – open cover of $\mathcal{N}^n$ has a countable subcover. Therefore, $\mathcal{N}^n$ is a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – Lindelöf.

4.8 Theorem

Let (Y, T) be a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{CT}_{(1,2)}$ – space and $\mathcal{F}^n = \langle \mathcal{F}_1, \Phi, \mathcal{F}_1^c \rangle$ be a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{CC}$ – set in Y . If (Y, T) is a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – Lindelöf, then $\mathcal{F}^n$ is a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – Lindelöf.

Proof: The demonstration unfolds in a manner mirroring the approach adopted in Theorem 3.7.

4.9 Theorem

Let (Y, T_Y) and (Z, T_Z) be $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{CT}_{(1,2)}$ – spaces and let $h : Y \rightarrow Z$ be a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – continuous $_{(1,2)}$ bijection. If K^n is a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – Lindelöf in Y , then $h(K^n)$ is a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – Lindelöf in Z .

Proof: The reasoning mirrors the approach adopted in the proof of Theorem 3.10.

4.10 Corollary

Let (Y, T_Y) and (Z, T_Z) be $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{CT}_{(1,2)}$ – spaces and let $h : Y \rightarrow Z$ be a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – continuous $_{(1,2)}$ bijection. If (Y, T_Y) is a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – Lindelöf, then (Z, T_Z) is a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – Lindelöf.

Proof: The demonstration unfolds in a manner mirroring the approach adopted in Theorem 3.10.

4.11 Theorem

Let (M^n, T_{M^n}) be a $\mathcal{N}_S$ – $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{CT}_{(1,2)}$ – space, and let $\mathcal{L}^n = \langle \mathcal{L}_1, \Phi, \mathcal{L}_3 \rangle \subseteq_1 M^n$. Then $\mathcal{L}^n$ is a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – Lindelöf in (M^n, T_{M^n}) if and only if $\mathcal{L}^n$ is a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – Lindelöf in (Y, T_Y)

The proof follows the same approach as in Theorem 3.11.

4.12 Theorem

Let $Q^n = \langle Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 \rangle$ and $H^n = \langle H_1, H_2, H_3 \rangle$ be $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – Lindelöf sets in $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{CT}_{(1,2)}$ – space.

Then $Q^n \cup_2 H^n$ is a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – Lindelöf set.

Proof: The proof follows the same approach as in Theorem 3.8.

4.13 Corollary

Let $Q^n = \langle Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 \rangle$ be a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – Lindelöf set, and let $\mathcal{F}^n = \langle \mathcal{F}_1, \Phi, \mathcal{F}_1^c \rangle$ be a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{CC}$ – set in $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{CT}_{(1,2)}$ – space. Then $Q^n \cap_1 \mathcal{F}^n$ is a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – Lindelöf set.

Proof: Let $\{\mathcal{R}^n_\lambda = \langle \mathcal{R}_{\lambda 1}, \emptyset, \mathcal{R}_{\lambda 3} \rangle : \lambda \in \mathbb{X}\}$ be an $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – open covering of $Q^n \cap_1 \mathcal{F}^n = \langle Q_1 \cap \mathcal{F}_1, \Phi, Q_3 \cup \mathcal{F}_1^c \rangle$.

Then:

$$Q_1 \cap \mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} \mathcal{R}_{\lambda 1}, \quad \mathcal{L}_3 \cup \mathcal{F}_1^c \supseteq \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} \mathcal{R}_{\lambda 3}.$$

Since $\mathcal{F}^n$ is a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{CC}$ – set $\Rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{n,c_2}$ is a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{CO}$ – set.

Hence, $\{\mathcal{R}^n_\lambda : \lambda \in \mathbb{X}\} \cup_2 \{\mathcal{F}^{n,c_2}\}$ is a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – open cover of Q^n .

Thus,

$$Q_1 \subseteq \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} \mathcal{R}_{\lambda 1} \cup \mathcal{F}_1^c, \quad Q_3 \supseteq \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathbb{X}} \mathcal{R}_{\lambda 3} \cap \mathcal{F}_1$$

Since, Q^n has the $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – Lindelöf property, each $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – open cover of Q^n contains a countable subcover such that:

$$Q_1 \subseteq \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}_{\lambda_{i1}} \right) \cup \mathcal{F}_1^c, \quad Q_3 \supseteq \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}_{\lambda_{i3}} \right) \cap \mathcal{F}_1.$$

Thus,

$$Q_1 \cap \mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (\mathcal{R}_{\lambda_{i1}} \cap \mathcal{F}_1) \cup \mathcal{F}_1^c \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}_{\lambda_{i1}},$$

$$Q_3 \cup \mathcal{F}_1^c \supseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} (\mathcal{R}_{\lambda_{i3}} \cup \mathcal{F}_1^c) \cap \mathcal{F}_1 \supseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}_{\lambda_{i3}}.$$

Hence, $Q^n \cap_1 \mathcal{F}^n$ is a $\mathcal{N}_U\mathcal{C}$ – Lindelöf set.

5 CONCLUSIONS

This paper has investigated the properties of compactness and Lindelöfness in neutrosophic crisp topological spaces defined by a topology based on Type-I intersection and Type-II union and complement. The obtained results demonstrate that the choice of algebraic operations in neutrosophic crisp sets plays a crucial role in determining the behavior of topological properties. In particular, it has been shown that while some classical results from general topology remain valid within this framework, others fail to hold or require additional conditions to be satisfied. This confirms that the considered structure is not merely a direct extension of classical topology; rather, it fundamentally depends on the nature of the underlying algebraic operations.

Furthermore, these findings contribute to strengthening the theoretical understanding of neutrosophic crisp topological spaces and indicate promising directions for future research. In particular, the investigation of related notions such as semi-compactness and semi-Lindelöfness, as well as the adoption of alternative algebraic structures, may provide deeper insights and lead to broader applications in this field.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Salama, F. Smarandache, and V. Kroumov, *Neutrosophic Crisp Sets & Neutrosophic Crisp Topological Spaces*. Infinite Study, 2014.
- [2] A. Salama, F. Smarandache, and V. Kroumov, "Neutrosophic closed set and neutrosophic continuous functions," *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, vol. 4, pp. 2-8, 2014.
- [3] M. M. Abdulkadhim, Q. H. Imran, A. H. Al-Obaidi, and S. Broumi, "On neutrosophic crisp generalized alpha generalized closed sets," *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 107-115, 2022.
- [4] D. N. Tomma and L. A. A. Al-Swidi, "Necessary and sufficient conditions for a stability of the concepts of stable interior and stable exterior via neutrosophic crisp sets," *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, vol. 24, no. 1, 2024.
- [5] H. AL. Salman and R. D. Ali, "Special Properties of Operators in Neutrosophic Crisp Topological Spaces," *F1000Research*, vol. 15, p. 216, 2026.
- [6] N. M. Easi, L. A. A. Jabar, and A. H. Al-Obaidi, "Kernel and Weakly Ultra-Separated Relationship with Separation Axioms in Stable Neutrosophic Crisp Topological Spaces," *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, vol. 97, pp. 1-8, 2026.
- [7] A. B. AL-Nafee, R. K. Al-Hamido, and F. Smarandache, *Separation Axioms in Neutrosophic Crisp Topological Spaces*. Infinite Study, 2019.
- [8] A. Salama and F. Smarandache, *Neutrosophic Crisp Set Theory*. Infinite Study, 2015.
- [9] A. Salama, I. M. Hanafy, and M. S. Dabash, *Semi-Compact and Semi-Lindelöf Spaces via Neutrosophic Crisp Set Theory*. Infinite Study, 2018.
- [10] X. Zhang, M. Li, and T. Lei, "On neutrosophic crisp sets and neutrosophic crisp mathematical morphology," *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, vol. 43, no. 1, p. 1, 2021.
- [11] K. Hur, P. K. Lim, J. G. Lee, and J. Kim, "The category of neutrosophic crisp sets," *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 43-54, 2017.